

Request For Engineering Support

Case Number: DSCP-FH-18-60813 Revision letter: Suffix letter: A SICA: AZ		Start date: 04/13/2018 Due date: 05/13/2018 Revision date: Required Award Date: ECD: Need Arrival Date: ECD reason:		
Army: AJ X AZ BD BF BG CL CT Navy: GA HD HX X KE Navy CIMCOS: CH CP JX LH NI PX USAF: GT SG ST SU SX TG USCG: XF XG XH XK USMC: PA NSA: XN				
Requesting office: DSCP-FHTC Address: 700 ROBBINS AVENUE PHILADELPHIA PA 19111 DSC engineer/Technician reviewer name: Email address: Phone DSN: Comm:		Point of contact: (b) (6) Phone DSN: 444-(b) Comm: 215-737-(b) Fax DSN: 444-(b) Comm: 215-737-(b) Email Address: (b) (6) @dla.mil		
ESA Response Time Required: B 30 Days				
Nomenclature	NSN	CAGE	Part Number	Reason for Sending
RING RETAINING	5325001520665	08484	BL3322	PLT
Critical Application Item(CAI): YES Critical Safety Item(CSI): NO SPC:		AMC/AMSC: 5D		Is this a CIT Item? NO LIM: ETD:
DLA Request for Engineering Support <i>(Check all that apply[Describe in DLA Text])</i> A. Alternate method of support 1. Contractor advises item obsolete without replacement 2. Contractor/Manufacturer does not recognize part number 3. NSN: CAGE: P/N: is recommended as a replacement 4. Contractor out of business or moved without forwarding address 5. Product line discontinued 6. Technical data required for open competition B. Alternate Offer Manufacturer: CAGE: Point of contact: Phone: Category: 4 N/A 1. To manufacture IAW OEM drawing No: Rev: Encl: 2. To supply CAGE: P/N: IAW technical data encl: 3. Potential cost savings/Improved delivery description: C. Item non-conformance(waiver/deviation)/ECP/VECP: N/A D. Request technical data package development or validation 1. Development 2. Validation 3. Screening				

- E. Reverse engineering candidate approval (initial phase)
 - 1. Technical data provided encl:
 - 2. Potential savings/Improved delivery explanation:
 - 3. DLA initiated
 - 4. Contractor initiated
- F. Reverse engineering (Approval)
 - 1. DLA initiated
 - 2. Contractor initiated
 - 3. Technical data provided Encl:
- G. Contract deliverables
 - 1. Contract quality requirements
 - 2. Testing requirements
 - 3. Waiver of First Article Test or Production Lot Test(FAT/PLT)
- H. Miscellaneous technical requirements
- I. Validate Critical Application Item(CAI)/Critical Safety Item(CSI) determination
- ☒ J. Evaluation of surplus offer
- K. Extended engineering
- L. NSN cancellation requested
- M. Shelf life evaluation
- N. PQDR assistance requested
- O. Systemic quality issue
- P. Item Unique Identification(IUID) technical requirements

ESA Evaluation

Engineering Response: [This Military Service/Agency has No Interest in this Item](#)

Critical Application Item:	YES
Flight safety critical:	
Aviation Critical Safety Item(CSI)/Flight Safety Critical Application Part(FSCAP):	
Life support item:	
Non-Aviation CSI:	NO
Aircraft Launch and Recovery Equipment(ALRE):	
Individual Repair Parts Ordering Data(IRPOD):	
Air Force Intercontinental Ballistic Missile(ICBM):	

Engineering Support Evaluation(*Check all applicable blocks[details in ESA text]*)

- A. Alternate method of support for subject NSN has been identified as follows:
1. Replacement identified NSN: or P/N: CAGE:
 2. Alternate source/Replacement item cannot be identified
 3. Supply by next higher assembly/kits NSN:
 4. Fabricate/Assemble from the following NSN: U/I: QTY: 00000
 - NSN: U/I: QTY: 00000 NSN: U/I: QTY: 00000
 5. Support through cannibalization
- B. The alternate offer has been: N/A
- C. The ECP/VECP or item non-conformance has been: N/A
- D. TDP Development/Validation/Screening status: N/A

E. Reverse engineering project initiation has been: [N/A](#)

No. of Prototypes: Cost estimate of test: [0.00](#) Testing:

F. Reverse engineering approval has been: [N/A](#)

G. Contract deliverables: 1. Contract quality requirements: [N/A](#)

2. Testing requirements: [N/A](#)

3. Waiver of First Article Test or Production Lot Test(FAT/PLT): [N/A](#)

☒ H. Miscellaneous technical requirements

☒ I. Validation of CAI/CSI and all related databases have been updated to reflect the determination

J. Surplus offer has been: [N/A](#)

K. Extended engineering

L. NSN cancellation authorized

M. Shelf life evaluation completed

N. PQDR issue addressed/resolved

O. Systemic quality issue addressed

P. Item Unique Identification(IUID): [Blank](#)

Q. Incorrect P/N submitted in block 9 Corrected P/N:

Scheduled rate: [0.00](#)

Actual hours: [0.0](#)

Cost estimate(extended engineering): [0.00](#)

Actual cost(extended engineering): [0.00](#)

Responsible ESA office: [AZ](#) Date: [05/01/2018](#) Point of contact: [\(b\) \(6\)](#)

Address: [6501 F 11 Mile Road](#)

Phone: DSN: [786-\(b\)](#)

Comm: [586-282-\(b\)](#)

Fax: DSN: [786-\(b\)](#) Comm: [586-282-\(b\)](#)

[MI 48397-5000](#)

Email address: [\(b\) \(6\)](#) [@mail.mil](#)

Reviewer:

DLA Executability Review

Is the 339 response executable? [YES](#)

End Item Application:

40NHELICOPTER, SEA KNIGHT H-46

Next Higher Assembly:

NA

DLA Problem Statement:

PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY PRODUCT SPECIALIST SURPLUS OFFER FROM CONTRACT DLA50088W2017 IS BEING PROTESTED. VENDOR CLAIMS THAT since 1988 (when the surplus material was manufactured) this part has had two ECO changes since 1988, an ECO in 1999 was a material process change and an ECO in 2011 was a dimensional change. CAN ESA DETERMINE IF THE SURPLUS OFFER IS ACCEPTABLE OR IS THE PROTEST VALID? (b) (5) (b) (5)

DLA Actions to Date:

REVIEWED SURPLUS CERTS AND LABEL.

DLA Questions:

CAN ESA DETERMINE IF SURPLUS MATERIAL IS ACCEPTABLE OR IF THE PROTEST CLAIM IS VALID?

DLA Recommendations:

ESA DETERMINE IF SURPLUS MATERIAL IS ACCEPTABLE OR IF THE PROTEST CLAIM IS VALID

List Attachments:

SURPLUS CERTS & LABEL, TIR AND ONLY DWG DLA HAS.

ESA Responses to all DLA Questions:

: THE ARMY HAS NO INTEREST IN THIS ITEM AND CAN BE REMOVED AS A USER THIS IS A NAVY END ITEM SYSTEM 40N HELICOPTER, SEA KNIGHT H-46. DEFER RESPONSE TO THAT SERVICE.

IF THERE ARE NO INTERESTED USERS IN OTHER SERVICES, #YOU CAN CANCEL THE NSN. #(b) (6) # DSN 786 (b) ##

List Attachments: